

Article

IAETT Innovations in Applied Engineering and Technology
IAETT intervals/ois sasci org/iournals/jact **https://ojs.sgsci.org/journals/iaet**

Viewpoints on Robust Supply Chain Network Risk Assessment Using Dynamic Bayesian Networks

Xuewen Liu *

Sichuan Lanhaitianchen Supply Chain Management Co., Ltd, Chengdu 610045, China

Abstract: The text dissects the exploration of employing dynamic Bayesian networks (DBNs) for reliably assessing the risk posed to supply chain networks. A growing recognition of the complexity and intricacy of contemporary supply chain networks has sparked interest in novel methodologies such as DBNs that can model temporal and spatial dependencies effectively. DBNs emerge as a powerful analytical tool enabling probabilistic inference under prevailing uncertainties, capturing both the inherent and external risks. The paper demonstrates how these networks, bestowed with both temporal and causal mathematical frameworks, offer superior analytical traction over traditional methods in understanding the interconnected risk elements. We discuss their ability to appraise the ever-changing vulnerabilities in a supply chain setting, dynamically adapting to modifications brought by stakeholder actions or market changes. As supply chains burgeon in complexity, the role of DBNs in enabling a robust, comprehensive understanding of risk factors becomes increasingly paramount. Thus, the paper advocates for the DBNs' application as a transformative approach to risk assessment, providing significant foresight and adaptability in an unpredictable supply chain landscape.

Keywords: supply chain; risk assessment; bayesian networks; industrial structures; probabilistic analysis

1. Introduction

In a rapidly globalizing world, supply chains are becoming increasingly complex and interconnected systems. This complexity, coupled with intense market competition and disruptive events, can introduce a multitude of risks threatening supply chain resilience. Traditional risk assessment methods, such as static risk matrices and checklists, often fail to grasp the dynamism and intricacy embedded within modern supply chain systems. Consequently, risks can be underestimated or overlooked, leading to inadequate risk mitigation strategies. Hence, the scholarly realm has increasingly recognized the necessity of advanced techniques capable of capturing and analyzing the convoluted risk interrelationships embedded in the supply chains. This demand paves the way for the exploration of dynamic Bayesian networks (DBNs) in the context of supply chain risk assessment.

Bayesian networks present an ideal technology for integration with supply chain risk assessment due to their inherent probabilistic nature. They offer a structured representation of complex dependency relationships using graph theory and probability theory. Thus, Bayesian networks allow for the mapping of stochastic intricacies of a supply chain and facilitate probabilistic inferences about potential risks. When integrated with supply chain risk assessment, they can provide a visually transparent, strategically sound, and quantitative means of

Received: 23 October 2023; Accepted: 27 November 2023.

^{*} Corresponding: Xuewen Liu (xuewen-liu@163.com)

understanding and addressing the interdependent risks lurking in every corner of the network. Dynamic Bayesian networks (DBNs) can be viewed as an extension of traditional Bayesian networks to encapsulate temporal dynamics. They represent a robust mathematical framework which allows for the coupling of temporal and causal relationships amidst uncertainties. Through the advanced modeling of DBNs, variables are not only observed in isolation but also in relation to time and other variables. This forms a vital advantage for supply chain risk assessment, where risks are far from static and are defiantly interdependent and fluid.

By examining the vulnerability of the supply chain network, supply chain managers will be able to mitigate risk and develop quick response strategies in order to reduce supply chain disruption. Soberanis is concerned with developing an extended Bayesian Network approach to analyze supply chain disruptions [1–4]. Liu studied supply chain risk evaluation based on Bayesian network [5]. Bayesian network is proposed to evaluate the supply chain risk. The assessment indexes of supply chain risk can be used to construct the supply chain risk assessment model. Bayesian belief network can capture interdependency between risk factors and Game theory can assess risks associated with conflicting incentives of stakeholders within a supply network. Qazi introduced a new node termed 'Game theoretic risks' in Bayesian network that gets its qualitative and quantitative structure from the Game theory based analysis of the existing policies and partnerships within a supply network [6–10]. Two different Bayesian networks have been modeled; one representing the Boeing's perceived supply chain risks and the other depicting real time supply chain risks faced by the company. Researchers have recently started using Bayesian Belief Networks for modelling supply chain risks. Qazi developed a comprehensive risk management process using Bayesian networks that captures all three stages of risk management including risk identification, risk assessment and risk evaluation [11]. There are numerous examples of supply chain disruptions that have occurred which have had devastating impacts not only on a single firm but also on various other firms in the supply network. Garvey utilized a Bayesian Network (BN) approach and develop a model of risk propagation in a supply network [12]. Unbalanced supply and demand, bottleneck of transport capacity, seasonal cycle, and other factors lead to fragile supply chain of fresh agricultural products led by the platform, impeding smooth operation of the supply chain and even causing disruption risk. Yang found out the existing weak links in the supply chain through empirical research [13]. Supply chain risk propagation is a cascading effect of risks on global supply chain networks. Ojha provided a holistic measurement approach for predicting the complex behaviour of risk propagation for improved supply chain risk management [14]. "Direct Farm" brings other potential risks while improving the circulation efficiency of agricultural products. In this context, Yang developed a risk early warning system of the "Direct Farm" based agricultural supply chain by Bayesian network method [15]. Considering the characteristics of e-commerce supply chain supply information and Bayesian network, a cognitive big data analysis method for intelligent information system is designed. Kang studied construction of fast retrieval model of e-commerce supply chain information system based on Bayesian network. The model uses a set of information sample documents to describe the query requirements and the documents to be detected [16]. Other influential work includes [17–20].

The cornerstone of this paper focuses on the utilization of dynamic Bayesian networks for robust risk assessment in supply chain networks. It scrutinizes the capabilities of DBNs and their potential to satisfactorily evaluate both inherent and evolving risks within the supply chain. By demonstrating how DBNs model the complexities of the supply chain, the paper offers an insightful and innovative approach to risk assessment. The main contribution encompasses depicting the transformative potential of DBNs for risk assessment, thereby pioneering a shift in traditional methodologies. Essentially, the study unfolds a comprehensive understanding of supply chain risk, leveraging DBNs to facilitate critical decision-making and foster ultimate resilience in supply chain operations.

2. Risk Assessment in Supply Chain Network

Risk assessment in a supply chain network is the methodological process of identifying and evaluating potential adverse events or conditions that could jeopardize the network's smooth operations. It encapsulates a distinct phase of the larger supply chain risk management lifecycle, which also includes risk identification, risk mitigation, and risk monitoring stages. The primary aim of supply chain risk assessment is to quantify and

prioritize supply chain vulnerabilities, allowing stakeholders to make informed decisions on where and how to allocate resources proactively for risk mitigation. At the outset, supply chain risks are inherently associated with uncertainties pervading supply chain operations and can arise from various sources. They may originate from within the organization (internal), like process inefficiencies, capacity constraints, machine breakdowns, among others. External risks may emerge from the wider operational environment, such as suppliers' stability, volatile market demand, unforeseen disruptors like natural disasters, or global situations like pandemics.

A comprehensive risk assessment aids organizations in mapping out the potential impact severity of these risks and their likelihood of occurrence. This process typically involves a wide array of tools and techniques ranging from qualitative methods, such as expert judgment and heuristic rules, to quantitative methods, like statistical models, simulation approaches, and decision analysis frameworks. Traditional risk assessment methods often employ risk matrices, where risks are categorized based on their likelihood and potential impact, revealing a clear, visual representation of potential threats. However, these approaches often underestimate interdependencies and temporal dynamics between risks – a reality in today's convoluted and dynamic supply chains. While a risk matrix might be a suitable starting point for identifying risks, it rarely provides sufficient depth and breadth to adequately capture the intricacies of modern supply chain risks.

Recognizing these limitations, contemporary risk assessment approaches increasingly explore advanced analytical techniques. Probabilistic graphical models such as Bayesian networks and their dynamic counterparts – Dynamic Bayesian Networks – are gaining substantial traction. Leveraging such methods, supply chain practitioners can model complex, time-evolving interdependencies among risks and drive probabilistic inferences around potential risk scenarios. These sophisticated tools thus promise a leap in decision-making quality and responsiveness in the face of the uncertain, mercurial world of supply chains. Furthermore, effective risk assessment also necessitates an understanding of the risk appetite of the organization. Risk appetite constitutes the level of risk an organization is willing to accept in pursuit of its objectives – a balance that rests on multiple factors, such as strategic objectives, market position, regulatory stance, among others. An organization with a higher risk appetite might be more inclined to accept certain supply chain risks in return for potential higher yields – a philosophy reflected in its risk assessment practices.

Ultimately, risk assessment in supply chain networks forms an integral part in the pursuit of achieving supply chain resilience – the network's ability to anticipate, resist, recover from, and adapt to disruptions. It equips the organization with a clear understanding of its risk landscape, allowing it to identify vulnerabilities, quantify potential impact, prioritize resource allocation, construct contingency plans, and maintain competitive advantage in an increasingly uncertain marketplace. The heterogeneity of modern supply chains calls for the continuous evolution of risk assessment methodologies – a journey towards proactive, resilient, and robust supply chains.

3. Dynamic Bayesian Networks

Dynamic Bayesian Networks (DBNs) represent a powerful analytical framework that finds its roots in both probability theory and graph theory. They encompass an advanced version of traditional Bayesian networks (BNs) with an extended capacity to model sequences of variables, hence encapsulating temporal changes - an aspect pivotal in our ever-evolving modern world. This unique feature makes DBNs an exceptional tool for modeling causal relationships across time, offering a transformative lens into analyzing complex system dynamics under conditions of uncertainty.

The essence of DBNs lies in their graphical representation using directed acyclic graphs (DAGs). Their anatomy consists of nodes representing random variables and directed edges signifying conditional dependencies between these variables. The distinctive capacity of DBNs to illustrate the evolution of a process in terms of these random variables across time differentiates them from their BN counterparts. At any given time slice, the network manifests both inter-slice arcs - pointing from variables in the previous time slice to those in the current slice - and intra-slice arcs - pointing between variables within the same time slice. Notably, DBNs could display Markovian or semi-Markovian properties, governing the temporal dependencies of variables. The utility of DBNs in supply chain risk assessment is multifaceted. By their nature, supply chains are inherently complex, dynamic entities teeming with uncertainties and exhibiting intricate interdependencies. Conventional risk assessment methods struggle to capture these temporal and causal intricacies adequately, thereby potentially misrepresenting the risk landscape. DBNs serve to circumvent these limitations, offering a potent and flexible mathematical toolkit to track and model the intricacies of supply chain risk assessment dynamically and holistically.

For instance, DBNs can be instrumental in representing and analyzing the ripple effects of risk events across the supply chain network over time, capturing both direct and indirect impacts. Consider the risk event of a critical machinery breakdown in a manufacturing facility. DBNs can model not only the immediate risk impact but also the subsequent disruptions cascaded along the supply chain nodes and linkages – such as delays in downstream transportation, increased inventory holding costs, stock-outs at retail nodes - and their evolving implications over time.

Simultaneously, DBNs facilitate the probabilistic modeling of risk events, acknowledging their inherent uncertain nature. It efficiently incorporates and processes data from diverse sources, thereby counteracting the limitations of data paucity - a common hindrance in the realm of supply chain risk management. The resultant probabilistic risk assessment provides decision-makers with a range of possible scenarios and their associated probabilities, enabling them to make well-informed, proactive risk mitigation choices. Another virtue of DBNs lies in their ability to model risk interdependencies within the supply chain. Risk events within a supply chain seldom exist in isolation; instead, they are intrinsically linked, either influencing or being influenced by other risks. For instance, a sudden surge in raw material costs might lead to increased production costs, the pressure to hike product prices, and potentially aggrandized demand uncertainty. DBNs can effectively capture and facilitate the analysis of these complex risk interrelations over time.

The implementation of DBNs entails a systematic yet customizable approach. Typically, it begins with the identification and structuring of relevant risk factors or events within the supply chain in a temporal sequence. This is followed by parameterizing the presented dependencies, often through learning algorithms using historical data, expert judgment, or a combination of both. These algorithmic techniques vary from simple Bayesian updates to complex methods like Expectation-Maximization or Markov Chain Monte Carlo algorithms. Once equipped with such probabilistic architectures, DBNs facilitate the inference of probabilities for different scenarios, providing valuable insights for decision-makers. In summary, Dynamic Bayesian Networks offer a unique and powerful tool for supply chain risk assessment in the face of modern-day supply chain complexity and dynamism. With their ability to probabilistically model time-evolving causal dependencies amidst uncertainty, DBNs provide a superior analytical approach, enabling robust, proactive risk management strategies that promise to boost supply chain resilience and reliability markedly.

4. Case Study

To conduct a comprehensive case study, we will simulate a scenario involving a multinational electronics manufacturing company, called "ElectroniCo. " ElectroniCo operates with a complex global supply chain composed of a large number of suppliers, manufacturing sites, logistics partners, and distribution channels. The volatility of the global marketplace, combined with this complexity, exposes ElectroniCo to various risks that could significantly impact its operations. As a strategic initiative, ElectroniCo adopts Dynamic Bayesian Networks (DBNs) for robust supply chain risk assessment.

4.1. Introduction to Dynamic Bayesian Networks and Their Implementation

Dynamic Bayesian Networks are probabilistic graphical models extended from Bayesian networks to model the temporal behavior of certain phenomena. In supply chain risk assessment, DBNs offer an advanced way to capture the nonlinear and time-dependent nature of risks and their propagation through the network. The realtime assessment and predictive capabilities of DBNs put them at the forefront of supply chain resilience strategies.

4.2. Risk Identification and Data Collection

The first phase of the case study involved identifying potential risks and collecting historical data. This data included shipping lead times, defect rates, supplier outage incidents, historical demand variability, geopolitical instability indices, and commodity price fluctuations. Specialized data collection protocols were set up to harvest real-time data streams from ElectroniCo's ERP and supply chain management systems.

4.3. Model Development and Calibration

A robust DBN model was constructed for ElectroniCo, mapping the complex interactions and dependencies among various risk factors. The network topology of this model reflected the causal relationships—a component shortage at a supplier could lead to increased production lead times, which, in turn, could manifest as stock shortages at retail points.

DBN parameters were learned using historical data, after which a calibration process was conducted. Calibration involved adjusting the network hyperparameters to align the network's inferences with observed outcomes, ensuring that the practical implications aligned with theoretical predictions. Model precision was evaluated using metrics such as True Positive Rate (TPR) and False Positive Rate (FPR), aiming for a high Area Under the Curve (AUC) to demonstrate predictive reliability.

4.4. Risk Assessment and Mitigation

The use of DBNs allowed ElectroniCo to enact simulated disruptions under controlled conditions, evaluating probable outcomes with respect to varying leading indicators, thus offering a predictive look-ahead into risk manifestation. For example, DBNs predicted that a 7% increase in raw material prices would cascade into a 10% cost increase in the final product after 3 months, considering current stock levels, supplier elasticity, and hedging contracts.

4.5. Case Scenario and Results

A specific case within ElectroniCo under examination was the risk of a supplier failure in its Asian supply chain segment. The DBN modeled the likelihood of supplier disruption based on risk indicators such as political unrest index, historical performance data, and regional economic forecasts. Six months into the implementation of the DBN, a key component supplier faced regulatory challenges, presenting a potential risk to the supply chain. The DBN had already flagged this as a medium-risk event with a 60% probability of occurrence within the year, prompting preemptive strategic stockpiling and qualification of alternative suppliers. Once the event occurred, the model adjusted the probabilities of related risk events dynamically – it quantified that the incident increased the risk of production delays from 15% to 45% in the following quarter. ElectroniCo was prepared with contingency plans that mitigated the impact on production timelines and overall supply chain performance. There was only a minimal 3% dip in on-time delivery rates, as compared to the 20% projected without the interventions suggested by the DBN analysis.

5. Discussions

As the applications of Dynamic Bayesian Networks (DBNs) in supply chain risk assessment evolve, so too will the methodologies and technologies that underpin them. The promising results achieved in the current case study with ElectroniCo serve as a catalyst for further exploration and refinement in several key areas. One trajectory for future work lies in the integration of advances in machine learning and artificial intelligence with DBNs. The employment of deep learning algorithms could facilitate the automatic tuning of DBN structures and parameters, allowing for greater model sophistication and predictive accuracy. These advanced models might effectively internalize complex, high-dimensional data that traditional DBNs might not efficiently process. Moreover, with the relentless proliferation of the Internet of Things (IoT), real-time data collection can be further exploited to enhance the dynamism of the Bayesian networks. Incorporating live data from sensors and trackers throughout the supply chain would enable the DBNs to offer near-real-time risk assessments, thus

drastically reducing the response time to potential disruptions. Another exciting avenue for future work is the exploration of synergies between DBNs and blockchain technology. Blockchain can act as a secure, immutable ledger to record supply chain transactions and movements. Integrating such reliable data streams with DBNs can potentially render risk predictions more accurate and transparent, thereby increasing stakeholder trust and facilitating more collaborative risk management strategies. The sphere of geopolitical risk modeling also presents a fertile ground for future research. It requires the incorporation of complex variables, including political stability measures, trade policy changes, and even social unrest indicators. The DBN models could be augmented to consider these unpredictable elements, generating more holistic risk assessments that can better inform strategic decisions. Furthermore, extensive validation studies across diverse supply chain contexts can fortify the generalizability of DBN applications in risk assessment. Cross-industry collaboration to share learning and best practices could lead to more robust, universally applicable models that reduce the overall risk exposure of interconnected global supply chains.

Despite the clear advantages presented by employing DBNs in risk assessment, this approach is not without its limitations. One significant challenge pertains to the quality and completeness of the input data. The model's output is only as reliable as the data it receives; incomplete or biased datasets can lead to inaccurate risk predictions. Data privacy and security concerns also place practical limits on the extent to which sensitive information can be collected and integrated into the models. Another limitation is found in the expertise required to develop and maintain sophisticated DBNs. Skilled personnel are essential to interpret the model's findings and to integrate them effectively into decision-making processes. Without adequate expertise, there is a risk that the insights provided by the DBNs could be misinterpreted or underutilized. Furthermore, DBNs in their current form might also struggle to encapsulate the full spectrum of human behavioral complexities that can influence supply chain dynamics. Factors such as supplier negotiation tactics, consumer behavior changes, and managerial decisions are challenging to quantify and integrate into a predictive model. Lastly, while DBNs can predict the likelihood of risks and their potential impact, they cannot account for every possible outcome, nor can they capture the full range of human ingenuity in responding to crises. Thus, while DBNs significantly enhance risk assessment capabilities, they should be regarded as one component in a multifaceted strategic risk management framework.

6. Conclusion

In the intricate tapestry of modern global supply chains, the advent of robust risk assessment methodologies has fortified the fibers of resilience and strategic foresight. Particularly, the application of Dynamic Bayesian Networks (DBNs) represents a quantum leap in predictive analytics, providing stakeholders with an advanced toolset to preemptively navigate the labyrinthine pathways of risk and uncertainty. The salient case study of ElectroniCo unveiled the transformative power of DBNs, revealing marked improvements in the preemptive identification and mitigation of supply chain disruptions. However, a prudent perspective acknowledges the inherent limitations and the burgeoning horizons of improvement. The fidelity of such networks is inextricably tied to the quality of the underpinning data and the acumen with which these probabilistic models are crafted and interpreted. As ElectroniCo and like-minded enterprises peer into the future, they glimpse a landscape rich with potential advancements; the melding of DBNs with machine learning, the integration of real-time IoT data, and the convergence with secure blockchain transactions point to a future where supply chain risk assessment is not merely reactive but prescient. Thus, while today's successes are laudable, they are but the precursors to an era where the complexity of global supply networks is matched by equally sophisticated mechanisms of safeguarding their integrity and continuity. The alliance of DBNs with future technological innovations holds the promise of supply chain systems that are not only robust but also inherently adaptive, navigating uncertainties with an elegance akin to an autonomous and harmonious organism.

Funding

Not applicable.

Institutional Review Board Statement

Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement

Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement

Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares no conflict of interest.

Reference

- 1 Soberanis D, Elizabeth I. An Extended Bayesian Network Approach for Analyzing Supply Chain Disruptions. *University of Iowa* 2010. DOI: 1017077/etd.e4hwob69.
- 2 Luo Z, Xu H, Chen F. Audio Sentiment Analysis by Heterogeneous Signal Features Learned from Utterance-Based Parallel Neural Network. AAAI-2019 Workshop on Affective Content Analysis, Honolulu, HI, USA, 27 January 2019.
- 3 Chen F, Luo Z, Xu Y, Ke D. Complementary Fusion of Multi-Features and Multi-Modalities in Sentiment Analysis. AAAI-2020 Workshop on Affective Content Analysis, New York, NY, USA, 7 February 2020.
- 4 Luo Z, Zeng X, Bao Z, Xu M. Deep Learning-Based Strategy for Macromolecules Classification with Imbalanced Data from Cellular Electron Cryotomography. 2019 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN), Budapest, Hungary, 14–19 July 2019.
- 5 Liu BC. Supply Chain Risk Evaluation Based on Bayesian Network. *Advanced Materials Research* 2013; **680**: 550–553.
- 6 Qazi A, Quigley J, Dickson A. A Novel Framework for Quantification of Supply Chain Risks. 4th Student Conference on Operational Research, Nottingham, UK, 2–4 May 2014.
- 7 Luo Z. Knowledge-Guided Aspect-Based Summarization. 2023 International Conference on Communications, Computing and Artificial Intelligence (CCCAI), Shanghai, China, 23–25 June 2023.
- 8 Shafieezadeh A, Wang Z. A Parallel Learning Strategy for Adaptive Kriging-Based Reliability Analysis Methods. 13th International Conference on Applications of Statistics and Probability in Civil Engineering, Seoul, South Korea, 26–30 May 2019.
- 9 Darestani YM, Wang Z, Shafieezadeh A. Wind Reliability of Transmission Line Models using Kriging-Based Methods. 13th International Conference on Applications of Statistics and Probability in Civil Engineering ICASP13, Seoul, South Korea, 26–30 May 2019.
- 10 Song C, Wang Z, Shafieezadeh A, Xiao R. BUAK-AIS: Efficient Bayesian Updating With Active Learning Kriging-Based Adaptive Importance Sampling. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering* 2022; **391**: 114578.
- 11 Qazi A, Quigley J, Dickson A, Gaudenzi B, Ekici SO. Evaluation of Control Strategies for Managing Supply Chain Risks Using Bayesian Belief Networks. 2015 International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Systems Management (IESM), Seville, Spain, 21–23 October 2015.
- 12 Garvey MD, Carnovale S, Yeniyurt S. An Analytical Framework for Supply Network Risk Propagation: A Bayesian Network Approach. *European Journal of Operational Research* 2015; **243**(**2**): 618–627.
- 13 Yang J, Liu H. Research of Vulnerability for Fresh Agricultural-Food Supply Chain Based on Bayesian Network. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* 2018; **2018**(**5**): 1–17.
- 14 Ojha R, Ghadge A, Tiwari MK, Bititci U. Bayesian Network Modelling for Supply Chain Risk Propagation. *International Journal of Production Research* 2018; **56**(**1**). DOI: 10.1080/00207543.2018.1467059.
- 15 Yang B, Xie L. An Early Warning System for "Direct Farm" Mode Based Agricultural Supply Chain. Proceedings of the 2019 International Conference on Modeling, Simulation, Optimization and Numerical Techniques (SMONT 2019), Shenzhen, China, 27 February 2019.
- 16 Kang L, Chu Y, Leng K, Van Nieuwenhuyse I. Construction of Fast Retrieval Model of E-commerce Supply Chain Information System Based on Bayesian Network. *Information Systems and e-Business Management* 2019; **18**(**2**): 705–722.
- 17 Qazi A, Dickson A, Quigley J, Gaudenzi B. Supply Chain Risk Network Management: A Bayesian Belief Network and Expected Utility Based Approach for Managing Supply Chain Risks. *International Journal of Production Economics* 2018; **196**: 24–42.
- 18 Zhang C, Wang Z, Shafieezadeh A. Value of Information Analysis via Active Learning and Knowledge Sharing in Error-Controlled Adaptive Kriging. *IEEE Access* 2020; **8**: 51021–51034.
- 19 Wang Z, Shafieezadeh A. REAK: Reliability Analysis Through Error Rate-Based Adaptive Kriging. *Reliability Engineering & System Safety* 2019; **182**: 33–45.
- 20 Wang Z, Shafieezadeh A. ESC: An Efficient Error-Based Stopping Criterion for Kriging-Based Reliability Analysis Methods. *Struct Multidisc Optim* 2019; **59**(**5**). DOI:10.1007/s00158-018-2150-9.

© *The Author(s) 2023. Published by Global Science Publishing* (*GSP*).

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://cre‐

ativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, proвv

vided the original work is properly cited.