July 15 (2024), pp. 01–06

Review

Heavy Metal Pollution – Current threat to Environment

Dipanwita Das^{1,*}, Debargha Chakraborty²

Asst. Professor, Department of Environmental Science, Amity University, Kolkata, West Bengal
Former Research Scholar, Department of Environmental Science, University of Kalyani, Nadia, West Bengal.
*Corresponding author: ddas@kol.amity.edu

Received: May 15, 2024; Revised: May 30, 2024; Accepted: June 28, 2024; Published: 15 July, 2024

Abstract: The release of toxic effluents from a variety of sources into the environment has become a major worldwide concern. One of the main ingredients in these undesirable industrial effluents is heavy metals. Although heavy metals are naturally occurring components of the earth's crust, human activity has significantly changed the geochemical cycles and biological balance of these elements. Heavy metals are mostly found in the effluent of certain sectors, including electroplating, paints, plastics, tanneries, and batteries. The government and other public sectors are developing strategies and putting various techniques into practice to properly mitigate the pollution caused by heavy metals. Conventional methods of extracting metals are often expensive and dangerous due to the potential for producing toxic byproducts. Additionally, those methods of treatment (which are primarily chemical and physical and include reverse osmosis, chemical precipitation, ion exchange, and evaporative recovery). **Keywords:** Heavy metal; Pollution; Environment; Remediation; Bioremediation

1. Introduction

"Heavy metal" is a general collective term for a group of metals and metalloids with an at omic density greater than 4000 kg m⁻³ or 5 times that of water and which are natural compone nts of the earth's crust [1]. Although some of them act as essential trace elements for living org anisms, in higher concentrations they can cause serious poisoning [2]. The most toxic forms of these metals in their ionic species are the most stable oxidation states, such as Cd²⁺, Pb²⁺, Hg²⁺, Ag⁺ and As³⁺, where they react with body biomolecules to form very stable bio-toxic compounds that are difficult to isolate [3]. In recent decades, biosphere pollution has increased significantly due to the release of chemicals and heavy metals such as cadmium (Cd), nickel (Ni), zin c (Zn), lead (Pb), copper (Cu) and mercury (Hg), etc.

This is because of various factors such as mining, smelting, manufacturing, use of pestici des and agricultural fertilizers, municipal wastes, traffic emissions, industrial effluents, and in dustrial chemicals. In most major cities, the issue of hazardous metal-induced environmental contamination is starting to become a concern. The introduction of hazardous heavy metals in to the environment can result in biomagnification, bioaccumulation, and geo-accumulation. H eavy metal pollution of the environment is a global problem. It is peculiar to certain regions of the bio-geosphere and exists everywhere, albeit to varying degrees.

Pollution with heavy metal ions such as mercury, lead and copper has become an import ant risk factor due to their possible toxic effects [4]. For example, risks of Hg (II) exposure may include adverse effects on the central nervous system, lungs, kidney function and chromosom es [5]. Pb (II), on the other hand, can bioaccumulate through the food chain, while long-term i nhalation of Cu (II) mist is said to increase the risk of lung cancer [4]. Numerous organic and i norganic compounds, heavy metals, especially pollute the environment. Living organisms can not quickly prepare and adapt to the sudden and huge environmental load caused by various toxic substances, and therefore the accumulation of certain elements, especially toxic heavy m etals, can cause unwanted changes in the biosphere, the consequences of which are unpredict able [6].Over the past decade, many countries have spent billions of dollars to clean up conta minated groundwater and soil.

2. Physico-Chemical Methods of Heavy Metal Removal from Environment

Ion exchange, chemical precipitation, and electrochemical removal are examples of conve ntional treatment methods that can be used to remove heavy metals from inorganic wastewat er. Chemical precipitation is the method most frequently used in industry to remove heavy m etals from solutions; approximately 75% of electroplating facilities treat their wastewaters wit h precipitation treatment, which can involve the use of hydroxide, carbonate, sulfide, or some combination of these treatments. Hydroxide treatment is the most widely utilized precipitatio n method because of its convenience of use, affordability of precipitant (lime), and simplicity of automatic pH control.

Gopalratnam *et al.* found 80% Zn, Cu, and Pb removal and up to 96.2% oil removal from i ndustrial wastewater using a combined hydroxide precipitation and air flotation system [7]. L ime and limestone are the most commonly used precipitants due to their availability and affor dability in most countries [8]. However, chemical precipitation requires large amounts of che micals to reduce metals to acceptable levels for disposal. Other disadvantages include its exce ssive sludge formation requiring additional treatment, slow metal deposition, poor settling, fi ne metal aggregation, and long-term environmental effects of sludge disposal [8].



Figure 1 Processes of a conventional metals precipitation treatment plant.

Heavy metals have been shown to be removed from solutions via flocculation and coagu lation. The neutralization of the particle charges is referred to as coagulation. Slow mixing is u sed in flocculation to encourage the destabilized particles to clump together. One new techniq ue for treating water that has been effectively used to treat a variety of wastewaters is electroc oagulation. It has been used to treat heavy metal-laden wastewater, potable water and urban wastewater [9]. Using a surfactant to make a non-surface active substance surface active and g enerate a product that is removed by bubbling a gas through the bulk solution to form foam is the basis for flotation. There has been extensive research on the removal of heavy metals usin g foam flotation techniques.

Heavy metals can be effectively removed from waste waters via ion exchange. The remov al of heavy metals is achieved through a reversible chemical reaction in which the ions in wast ewater exchange places with those on the resin. Liquid ion exchange has been the subject of re cent study concerning the removal of heavy metals from plating wastes. This method's drawb ack is that it cannot handle concentrated metal solutions since the wastewater's organics and o ther particles readily contaminate the matrix. In addition, ion exchange is very sensitive to the pH of the solution and is not selective. One obvious drawback was the possibility of corrosio n turning into a major impediment, requiring frequent electrode replacements.

A solution containing the dissolved metallic ions comes into contact with a more active m etal, such as iron, during the cementation process, which replaces metals. Thus, the process of cementation involves the spontaneous electrochemical reduction of an ionized metal in soluti on.to the elemental metallic state, followed by the oxidation of a metal serving as a substitute (iron, for example).

The process of complexation entails the use of a chelating or complexing agent to create a complex chemical. By creating a complex ion that lacks the chemical interactions of the remov ed ion—that is, by becoming bound up or complexed—sequestration entails removing a meta l ion from solution. These techniques do, however, have a number of drawbacks, including a high reagent demand, erratic metal ion removal, the production of toxic sludge, etc.

The adsorption process has emerged as the most popular technique for eliminating hazar dous pollutants from wastewater due to its ease of use, affordability, efficacy, and versatility. When a gas or liquid solute builds up on the surface of a solid or liquid (adsorbent), a molecul ar or atomic film is formed (the adsorbate). This process is known as adsorption. The most po pular adsorbent on the market is activated carbon. Typically manufactured in small pellets or powder form, it is an amorphous solid with high porosity that is composed of micro crystallit es with a graphite lattice. Numerous harmful metals are capable of being eliminated. Activate d carbon clay minerals, biomaterials, industrial solid wastes, and zeolites are a few commonly utilized adsorbents for the adsorption of metal ions [10, 11].

3. Biological Methods for Heavy Metal Removal

The majority of conventional heavy metal removal methods are expensive and have insu fficient efficiency at low metal concentrations, especially in the 1-100 mg/l range [12]. The disp osal of the toxic sludges produced by some of these processes adds to the challenge of making treatment operations technologically and economically feasible. The hunt for more efficient w ays to sequester metal has been prompted by these limitations. This option presents sorbents f or biological techniques [13]. It was determinedly necessary to create an inexpensive, environ mentally friendly method of eliminating contaminants, especially heavy metals. Therefore, by promoting the microbial and associated biota (flora and fauna) within the ecosystem to degra de, accumulate, and/or remove the pollutants from the designated areas, researchers propose a workable strategy to expedite the process of decay and removal of heavy metals. Therefore, bioremediation presents a compelling substitute. Phytoremediation, bioventing, bioleaching, l

and farming, bioreactor, composting, bioaugmentation, rhizo-filtration, and bio-stimulation ar e a few methods associated with bioremediation.

Algae has also been used for removal of heavy metals from wastewaters and for concentr ation of valuable metals from dilute solutions Mane *et al.*, have used pre-treated algal biomass to remove one of the heavy metal namely selenium [14]. For this investigation, they employe d algae isolates from Viz., Spirogyra sp., and the Nostoc community. They discovered that sel enium may be significantly removed from the Spirogyra sp. and Nostoc population through p hysical or chemical treatment. Additionally, they saw that, as compared to living biomass, pre -treatment of biomass with NaOH increased selenium biosorption.

An investigation on the bio-sorbents utilized for heavy metal removal was conducted by Wang and Chen [15] Their research highlights biomass's potential for heavy metal reduction i n wastewater treatment applications.

Various macrophytes (spermatophytes, pteridophytes, mosses) have also been described as efficient collectors of heavy metals. Their ability to over accumulate heavy metals makes th em interesting candidates for research, especially in the treatment of industrial wastewater an d sewage [16, 17]. Aravind *et al.*,reported that the addition of the heavy metal Zn in a Cd-cont aining growth medium reduced Cd accumulation in *Ceratophyllum demersum*, indicating the e xistence of metal-metal interactions (Zn and Cd) [18]. *Azolla*, a free-floating, fast-growing, nitr ogen-fixing pteridophyte, appears to be an excellent candidate for the removal, removal and s olubilization of heavy metals in polluted aquatic ecosystems [19].

4. Microbes in Heavy Metal Removal

Microorganisms are nature's original recyclers, transforming toxic organic substances int o harmless products, often carbon dioxide and water. Interest in microbial biodegradation of pollutants has increased in recent years as humanity strives to find sustainable ways to clean up polluted environments transform or accumulate a huge number of compounds, including hydrocarbons (such as petroleum), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), polyaromatic hydrocar bons (PAHs), heterocyclic compounds (such as pyridine or quinoline), drugs, radionuclides a nd metals. Various microorganisms such as bacteria, fungi, algae and yeasts are usually used t o control metal pollution through bioremediation, because they have the potential to internall y accumulate various heavy metals [20]. Ashok et al., confirmed that different bacterial strains were used to remove heavy metals from the aquatic environment [21]. Hanjun et al., also repo rted bio-removal of cadmium from aquatic environment using endophytic bacterial bacillus s pecies [22]. Many studies have shown that several species of bacteria were able to remove met als from the aquatic environment [23]. Recently, Basha and Rajganesh isolated four heavy met al resistant bacterial strains namely Escherichia coli, Salmonella typhi, Bacillus licheniformis a nd Pseudomonas fluorescein from textile industry wastewater used for bioremediation of hea vy metals from textile dye waste [24].



Figure 2 Metal-processing features adopted by microbes to be utilized in metal bioremediation processes.

5. Conclusions

Significant growth in agriculture, industry and technological growth, in addition to popu lation growth, has caused environmental degradation all over the world. Rapidly growing citi es, increasing traffic on roads, increasing energy consumption and waste production, as well a s the lack of strict compliance with environmental requirements increase the release of polluta nts into the air, water and soil. Microbial bioremediation is gaining attention as an important method to reduce metal pollution due to its low cost and high efficiency. Microbial metal bior emediation is an ecological, cost-effective and efficient strategy. To combat heavy metal pollut ion. Advances in the understanding of metal-microbe interactions have provided impetus to u se technology to develop more efficient microbes with greater potential for metal accumulatio n and/or detoxification to minimize heavy metal bioavailability and biotoxicity. Thus, biorem ediation has been introduced as a unique management method to control heavy metal polluti on, which is one of the major upcoming global problems in the current era of industrial boom.

Funding: Not Applicable.

Author Contributions: This is a review work, both the authors equally contributed to format the manuscript.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable

Conflicts of Interest: The author's declare no conflict of interests.

Reference

- Aravind P., Prasad M.N.V., Malec P., Waloszek A., Strzałk K. Zinc protects Ceratophyllum demersum L. (free-floating hydrophyte) against reactive oxygen species induced by cadmium. *Journal of Trace Elements in Medicine and Biology*, 2009, 23: 50– 60.
- Kumar A., Bisht B.S., Joshi V.D. Biosorption of heavy metals by four acclimated microbial species, Bacillus spp., Pseudomonas spp., Staphylococcus spp. and Aspergillus niger. *Journal of Biological and Environmental Sciences*, 2010, 4(12): 97–108.

- Aydın H., Bulut Y., Yerlikaya C. Removal of copper (II) from aqueous solution by adsorption onto low-cost adsorbents. *Journal of environmental management*, 2008, 87(1): 37–45.
- 4. Aziz H.A., Adlan M.N., Ariffin K.S. Heavy metals (Cd, Pb, Zn, Ni, Cu and Cr (III)) removal from water in Malaysia: post treatment by high quality limestone. *Bioresource technology*, **2008**, **99(6)**: 1578–1583.
- 5. Babel S., Kurniawan T.A. Low-cost adsorbents for heavy metals uptake from contaminated water: a review. *Journal of hazardous materials*, 2003, **97**(1–3): 219–243.
- 6. Basha S.A., Rajaganesh K. Microbial bioremediation of heavy metals from textile industry dye effluents using isolated bacterial strains. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Science*, 2014, **3**: 785–794.
- 7. Djukic D, Mandic L. Microorganisms and technogenic pollution of agro-ecosystem. *Acta Agriculturae Serbica*, 2000, **5**(10): 37–44.
- 8. Duruibe J.O., Ogwuegbu M.O.C., Egwurugwu J.N. Heavy metal pollution and human biotoxic effects. *International Journal of physical sciences*, 2007, **2**(**5**): 112–118.
- 9. Garbarino J.R., Hayes H., Roth D., Antweider R., Brinton T.I., Taylor H. Contaminants in the Mississippi river. U. S. Geological Survey Circular, Virginia, U.S.A. 1995, 1133.
- 10. Gopalratnam V.C, Bennett G.F., Peters R.W. The simultaneous removal of oil and heavy metals from industrial wastewater by joint precipitation and air flotation. *Environmental Progress*, 1988, **7**(2): 84–92.
- Hanjun G., Shenglia L., Hiang C., Xiao X., Qiang X., Wanzhi W., Guangmi Z., Chengbi L., Yong W., Jueliang C., Yejuan H. Bioremediation of heavy metals by growing hyperaccumulator endophytic bacterium Bacillus p. L14. *Bioresource Technology*, 2010, 10: 859 –8605.
- 12. Holt P.K., Barton G.W., Wark A.A., Mitchell A. A quantitative comparison between chemical dosing and elecrto-coagulation. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*, 2002, **211**: 233–248.
- 13. Kapoor A., Viraraghavan T. Fungal biosorption- an alternative treatment option for heavy metal bearing wastewaters: a review. *Bioresource Technology*, 1995, 53(3): 195–206.
- 14. Koukkou A.I. Microbial Bioremediation of Non-metals : Current Research. Caister academic Press: Norfolk, UK, 2011; pp. 978–1–904455–83–7.
- 15. Lenntech W. Water Treatment. Lenntech, Rotterdamseweg, Netherlands (Lenntech Water Treatment and Air Purification), 2004.
- Mane PC, Bhosle AB, Jangam CM, Vishwakarma CV (2011) Bioadsorption of Selenium by Pretreated Algal Biomass. *Advances in Applied Science Research*, 2011, 2(2): 202– 207.
- 17. Manish N., Dinesh S., Arun K. Removal of heavy metal from industrial effluent using bacteria. *International Journal Environmental Sciences*, 2011, **2**(2): 789–795.
- Mishra V.K., Tripathi B.D., Kim K.H. Removal and accumulation of mercury by aquatic macrophytes from an open cast coal mine effluent. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 2009, 172: 749–754.
- 19. Rai P.K. Heavy metal pollution in lentic ecosystem of subtropical industrial region and its phytoremediation. *International Journal of Phytoremediation*, 2010, **12**: 226–242.
- Rao M.M., Reddy D.H.K.K., Venkateswarlu P., Seshaiah K. Removal of mercury from aqueous solutions using activated carbon prepared from agricultural by product/waste. *Journal of environmental management*, 2009, **90**(1): 634–643.
- 21. Satapathy D., Natarajan G.S. Potassium bromated modification of the granular activated carbon and its effect on nickel adsorption. *Adsorption*, 2006, **12**: 147–154.
- https://ojs.sgsci.org/journals/jee

- 22. Umali L.J., Duncan J.R., Burgess J.E. Performance of dead *Azolla filiculoides* biomass in biosorption of Au from wastewater. *Biotechnology Letters*, 2006, **28**: 45–49.
- 23. Wang J., Chen C. Biosorbents for heavy metals removal and their future. *Biotechnology Advances*, 2009, **27**: 195–226.
- 24. Wilson K., Yang H., Seo C.W., Marshall W.E. Select metal adsorption by activated carbon made from peanut shells. *Bioresource Technology*, 2006, **97**: 2266–2270.