Star Map Recognition and Matching Based on Deep Triangle Model
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Abstract: The star sensor is the key component of Celestial Navigation. It measures the autonomous attitude of navigation bodies by observing stars. And it conducts image collection, preprocessing, feature extraction and matching recognition. Aimed to implement the latter two procedures, we first estimate the coordinate of the point which is the intersection point of the optical axis and the celestial sphere. We employ geometrical knowledge to get the relationship between the intersection point and given projection distances. When distances are unknown, we use Newton’s method to approach the exact coordinate of the intersection point. Based on our coordinate calculation method, we are required to find a principle for improving the accuracy of the coordinate. We first establish a projection screening model to obtain star maps. Then we establish four coordinate systems, i.e., the celestial coordinate system, the star sensor coordinate system, the image coordinate system and the pixel coordinate system. Taking the star map at the north celestial pole as an instance, we finish the transformation of coordinate between different systems and search for the factors affecting accuracy of coordinate. Ultimately, we draw the conclusion that the coordinate accuracy improves, when selected stars projection close the centroid of the photosensitive surface. Aimed to implement the matching recognition, we establish a novel feature extraction and matching model. We take the angle between stars and three of their nearest stars as the feature of the central star. Then we extract the feature matrix of the given star table as the feature database. Using the same way, we get the feature matrix of four-star maps. To achieve the last step of matching recognition, we compare the feature matrix of star maps with the given navigation stars. During the process, we employ DBScan clustering algorithm to implement the matching recognition process. We select the cluster center that satisfies the maximum number of matches as the actual location of the identified star map.
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1. Introduction

Celestial navigation, critical for precise spacecraft orientation, relies heavily on the capabilities of star sensors. These devices process stellar images to determine orientation, with their effectiveness significantly bolstered by advancements in computational methods, especially deep learning, as evidenced by recent work on dynamic network rewiring in deep neural networks by Kundu et al [1]. Our study advances the field of star map recognition and matching by fusing deep learning with geometric modeling, inspired by contemporary successes...
in depth estimation and image processing.

Deep learning has made significant strides in a variety of fields, including recommendation systems, credit assessment, and photometric analysis [2–8]. Machine learning technology has extensive potential applications, capable of effective model prediction across various fields [9,10], and demonstrates unique applicability in the study of emotional analysis [11]. Similarly, research across various disciplines has demonstrated the significant contributions of deep learning in process optimization [12,13]. The research into advanced algorithms across various fields has demonstrated their effectiveness in enhancing efficiency and reducing costs within complex systems [14–16]. Incorporating enhanced depth estimation methods has proven pivotal in refining the accuracy of image–based navigation systems. Similarly, Deng et al.’s study on frequency–tunable plasmonic structures highlights how precise frequency control enhances sensor technologies, crucial for tackling celestial navigation challenges [17,18]. Research in extending the resolution of lidar systems through single–image depth fusion offers valuable parallels to the depth estimation challenges faced in celestial navigation [19–23]. Similarly, methodologies that enhance semantic scene understanding using normalized device coordinates provide a robust framework applicable to the spatial complexity of star maps [24–26].

The role of feature manipulation in machine learning cannot be overstated, particularly in its application to precise image analysis and recognition tasks. Techniques developed for medical image classification and change detection in dynamic scenes highlight the potential for similar strategies in star sensor data processing, enhancing the reliability of feature extraction and matching [27–32].

Advancements in computational models that integrate machine learning algorithms have also shown great promise. Notably, techniques such as those developed by Sun et al. for automatic cell activation in cloud RANs, illustrate the potential of innovative approaches in complex network settings [33]. Additionally, the application of ensemble learning techniques and vision transformers to tasks requiring high accuracy and efficiency exemplifies the types of computational strategies that can be adapted for matching recognition in star maps [34–36].

Moreover, the adaptation of sophisticated algorithms for real–time decision–making and spatial data interpretation in complex environments, such as particle filter localization and value factorization in multi–agent systems, provides a strong methodological foundation for our approach to star map recognition [37–41]. The development of graphene–based mid–infrared photodetectors is also pushing the boundaries of sensing technology [42,43].

Our proposed deep triangle model utilizes the DBScan clustering algorithm to achieve efficient and precise matching recognition [44,45]. This approach is informed by a broad spectrum of recent research across various domains, ensuring that our model not only addresses the specific challenges of celestial navigation but also aligns with the latest in scientific and computational advancements.

Determining celestial navigation parameters plays a significant role in the aerospace technology. The star sensor in Celestial Navigation technique is the key part of autonomous attitude measurement. The functions of it are image acquisition and preprocessing, feature extraction, and matching recognition. And it observes the star to attain images. After preprocessing and feature extraction, images are compared with the known star catalog, which records some positions of stars, to accomplish the last step. During the process, the celestial coordinate system, the star sensor coordinate and the image coordinate system are established to describe the location for a star.

Based on the celestial coordinate system, given positions of three stars, we are required to establish a model to calculate the coordinate of the intersection point of the optical axis and the celestial sphere. Then we need to consider how to select stars to improve the accuracy of the intersection position information if there are more than three stars in the field of view of the star sensor. In addition, we should establish a model to extract the feature for matching recognition better than traditional methods.

To solve these problems, we will process as follows:

1) Establish a tetrahedral model to compute the coordinate of the intersection point of the optical axis and the celestial sphere.

2) Compare the accuracy of the intersection point of the optical axis and the celestial sphere based on
3) After determining the principles, we extract the feature vectors of the given star tables and the star map. We use the DBScan clustering algorithm to implement the matching recognition process.

In our model, we first establish a geometrical model to compute the coordinate of the intersection point. Considering it is difficult to solve directly, we use Newton’s method to simplify the equation set. Based on the first model, we get the deviation between the exact coordinate and the value we calculate. Then we use the deviation to assess the accuracy performance of selected stars. Ultimately, the principle can be concluded with the relative graph. Then we extract feature matrix of given star tables and the star table. Then we employ the matching recognition and DBScan clustering algorithm to select the best matching navigation stars.

2. Coordinate Systems, Notations and Assumptions

2.1. Establishment of Coordinate Systems

Apart from the celestial coordinate system, the star sensor coordinates system and the image coordinate system, we establish the pixel coordinate system. To get a better understanding of the questions and solutions, all establishment are described as follow.

To establish the celestial coordinate system, we need to prepare some astronomy knowledge, and all points, lines and planes are shown in Figure 1.

- The celestial sphere is an imaginary sphere of infinite radius centered on the center of the earth.
- The great circle of the celestial sphere passing through the celestial pole is called the hour circle.
- The line PP’ paralleled to the earth’s axis of autorotation and passing the center of the celestial sphere is named the celestial axis.
- The plane EQE’ that passes through the center of the celestial sphere and is perpendicular to the celestial axis is called the celestial equatorial plane. The intersecting circle of this plane and the celestial sphere is called the celestial equator.
- The average plane SEN of the earth’s orbit around the sun is called the ecliptic plane. This plane intersects the celestial sphere with the ecliptic.
- The equator and the ecliptic meet at two points, one of which is named vernal equinox γ.

Taking the celestial equatorial as the base circle, the hour circle passing the spring equinox as the main circle and the spring equinox as the main point, the system defines right ascension α and declination δ to describe the location.

Then we establish the star sensor coordinate system and the image coordinate system. Set the projection center O as the origin of the star sensor coordinate system and the optical axis OD as Zc axis, which intersect the photosensitive surface at the intersection point O’. Passing the point O, we get parallel lines of AB and BC as the Xc axis and the Yc axis for the star sensor coordinate system. By the same way, we obtain the x axis and the y
axis for the star sensor coordinate system. And the graph of systems is shown in Figure 2, the former system is the three-dimensional system and the later one is the two-dimensional system in the star sensor plane.

Figure 2. The star sensor coordinate system and the image coordinate system.

In addition, looking up for relevant information, we know that the field of view of the star sensor is 12° × 12°, and the pixel is 512 × 512. With this information, the pixel coordinate system can be established in Figure 3. Set the point A as the origin and set line AD and line AB as u-axis and v-axis respectively.

Figure 3. The pixel coordinate system.

Putting the fours coordinate systems together, we could obtain the diagram shown in Figure 4.

Figure 4. Four coordinate systems (Please refer to Table 1 for the definition of notations).
2.2. Notations

Table 1. The definition of notations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Notation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>POINT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
<td>The intersection points of the celestial sphere and the optical axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td></td>
<td>The projection center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O'</td>
<td></td>
<td>The projection points of the point O on the photosensitive surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pi</td>
<td></td>
<td>The ( i )th star, where the index ( i ) is greater than 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qi</td>
<td></td>
<td>The projection points of the ( i )th star ( P_i ) on the photosensitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>surface, where the index ( i ) is greater than 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \delta )</td>
<td></td>
<td>The right ascension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \delta )</td>
<td></td>
<td>The declination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VARIABLE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( f )</td>
<td></td>
<td>The distance between the point O and the point O' on the photosensitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( r )</td>
<td></td>
<td>The distance between the point O and the point ( Q_i ) on the photosensitive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>surface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((x_0, y_0, z_0))</td>
<td></td>
<td>The coordinate of the point ( O ) in the star sensor coordinate system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((x_i, y_i, z_i))</td>
<td></td>
<td>The coordinate of the star ( P_i ) in the star sensor coordinate system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COORDINATE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((r_0, \alpha_0, \delta_0))</td>
<td></td>
<td>The coordinate of projection points ( O' ) in the star sensor coordinate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((r_i, \alpha, \delta_i))</td>
<td></td>
<td>The coordinate of projection points ( Q_i ) in the star sensor coordinate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((x_i, y_i))</td>
<td></td>
<td>The coordinate of the point ( Q_i ) in the image coordinate system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((u_i, v_i))</td>
<td></td>
<td>The coordinate of the point ( Q_i ) in the pixel coordinate system</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.3. Establishment of Coordinate Systems

To simplify the problem, we make some reasonable assumptions.

- The vernal equinox does not shift. While the vernal equinox keeps moving westward, the impact on time is quite slow and small (The tropical year is reduced by 0.5s per century), so that the shift can be ignored.
- The centroid positioning deviation of the star can be ignored. The distance between a star and the projection center can be regarded as infinite, so that a star can be seen as an ideal particle.
- The radius of the celestial sphere is large enough. The definition of the celestial sphere, the radius is infinite.
- The projection center is the center of the celestial sphere. Since the definition of the celestial sphere has infinite radius, the distance from the center of the earth to the projection center is so small that can be ignored.
- The optical center of the lens is the center of the earth. Because the optical center of the lens is the projection center, based on the last assumption, it can be considered as the center of the earth.
- All stars are on the celestial sphere. Because the radius of the celestial sphere is quite large, the distances from the projection center to different stars can be regarded as the same.

3. Model Overview

To determine the coordinate of the point \( D \), we establish a model to illustrate the geometrical relationship. If the distance \( f \) between the point \( O \) to the point \( O' \) is unknown, the calculation may be complex, we use
Newton’s method to simplify it.

Once we get the coordinate of the point $D$, we are required to find a principle to select proper stars for improving the accuracy. There are two influence factors, namely the number of stars and the geometrical positions of stars. We will firstly establish a model to screen which stars in Annex 1 may be captured by the star sensor. Then we transform the coordinates in the celestial coordinate system into the pixel coordinate system to conduct further screening. Since the principle should be effective no matter where the photosensitive surface is, we discuss the situation when the optical axis points to the north celestial pole.

4. Model Development

4.1. Coordinates of the Intersection Point $D$

4.1.1. Establishment of the Tetrahedral Model

Given the position of three stars $(P_1, P_2, P_3)$ and their projection $(Q_1, Q_2, Q_3)$ on the photosensitive surface, we connect projections and the center $O$ and get a tetrahedral model shown in Figure 5. To simplify the problem, we establish a spherical coordinate system based on the celestial coordinate system.

![Figure 5](image_url)

**Figure 5.** The tetrahedral model.

In the spherical coordinate system, we interpret $r_i$ as the distance of one point in the photosensitive surface to the center of the celestial sphere $O$, and the index $i$ indicates the $i^{th}$ star. Then we get coordinates $Q_i(r_i, \delta_i, \alpha_i)$ and $O'(f, \delta_0, \alpha_0)$. Then we could calculate the cosine of the angle between half–line $OQ_i$ and $OO'$ according to the formula

$$\cos \left(\overrightarrow{OQ_i}, \overrightarrow{OO'}\right) = \cos \theta_i = \cos \delta_i \cos \delta_0 \cos (\alpha_i - \alpha_0) + \sin \delta_i \sin \delta_0$$

We cut the tetrahedron by crossing $\triangle OQ_1O'$ and show the profile in Figure 6.

![Figure 6](image_url)

**Figure 6.** The profile.
According to properties of triangles, the cosine of the angle $\theta_i$ between half-line $OQ_i$ and half-line $OO'$ can be expressed as

$$\cos \theta_i = \frac{f}{\sqrt{a_i^2 + f^2}}$$

(2)

With equation (1), equation (2) and coordinates of $O, Q_1, Q_2, Q_3$, we could obtain an equation set

$$\begin{align*}
\cos \delta_i \cos \delta_h \cos (\alpha_n - \alpha_i) + \sin \delta_i \sin \delta_h &= \frac{f}{\sqrt{a_i^2 + f^2}} \\
\cos \delta_i \cos \delta_h \cos (\alpha_n - \alpha_i) + \sin \delta_i \sin \delta_h &= \frac{f}{\sqrt{a_i^2 + f^2}} \\
\cos \delta_i \cos \delta_h \cos (\alpha_n - \alpha_i) + \sin \delta_i \sin \delta_h &= \frac{f}{\sqrt{a_i^2 + f^2}}
\end{align*}$$

(3)

With coordinates of $Q_1, Q_2, Q_3$, we could get three equations with variables $f, \alpha_0$ and $\delta_0$. If the variable $f$ is already known, with MATLAB, we obtain the value of $\alpha_0$ and $\delta_0$ to represent the location of $D$.

4.1.2. Solution of the Model with Newton’s Method

If the variable $f$ is unknown, the equation set (3) is difficult to compute directly, so that we iteratively approximate the solution of the non–linear equation set using Newton’s method.

Set the equation set (3) as

$$\begin{align*}
f_1(f, \alpha_0, \delta_0) &= 0 \\
f_2(f, \alpha_0, \delta_0) &= 0 \\
f_3(f, \alpha_0, \delta_0) &= 0
\end{align*}$$

(3)

Where

$$f_i(f, \alpha_0, \delta_0) = \cos \delta_i \cos \delta_h \cos (\alpha_n - \alpha_i) + \sin \delta_i \sin \delta_h - \frac{f}{\sqrt{a_i^2 + f^2}}$$

(4)

The solution set and the equation set can be expressed as vectors $x = (f, \alpha_0, \delta_0)^T$ and $F = (f_1, f_2, f_3)^T$ respectively, so that the equation set (4) can be expressed as

$$F(x) = 0$$

(5)

After getting an approximate solution $x^{(i)} = (f^{(i)}, \alpha_0^{(i)}, \delta_0^{(i)})^T$, we expand components $f_i(x)$ of the function $F(x)$ by Taylor formula and obtain

$$F(x) \approx F(x^{(i)}) + F'(x^{(i)})(x - x^{(i)})$$

(6)

Substituting equation (6) into (5), a linear equation set could be attained

$$F'(x^{(i)})(x - x^{(i)}) = -F(x^{(i)})$$

(7)

Where,

$$F'(x) = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{\partial f_1(x)}{\partial f} & \frac{\partial f_1(x)}{\partial \alpha_0} & \frac{\partial f_1(x)}{\partial \delta_0} \\
\frac{\partial f_2(x)}{\partial f} & \frac{\partial f_2(x)}{\partial \alpha_0} & \frac{\partial f_2(x)}{\partial \delta_0} \\
\frac{\partial f_3(x)}{\partial f} & \frac{\partial f_3(x)}{\partial \alpha_0} & \frac{\partial f_3(x)}{\partial \delta_0}
\end{bmatrix}$$
Here $F'(x)$ is the Jacobi matrix of $F(x)$. Solving the equation set (7), we get the solution vector

$$x^{(k+1)} = x^{(k)} - F'(x^{(k)})^{-1} + F(x^{(k)})$$

### 4.2. Selection Principle of Stars

#### 4.2.1. Projection Screening Model

Before discussing how to improve the accuracy of the intersection point $D$, we establish a model to determine the number of stars on the photosensitive surface. As it mentioned above, the field of view of the star sensor is $12^\circ \times 12^\circ$, and the pixel is $512 \times 512$, so we set the length of its side is $d$. Although the star sensor may rotate around the celestial sphere center $O$, rotations around $X_w$ axis, $Z_w$ axis or $Y_w$ axis are similar. Hence, we ignore the rotation. We get the circle $\odot O'$ as the externally tangent circle of the square. The radius of the circle $\odot O$ is $\sqrt{2}d$. We sketch the model in Figure 7.

![Figure 7. The projection screening model.](image-url)

As it shown in the graph, the point $P_i$ can be exactly observed by the star sensor, and the angle $\Delta \delta$ the angle between the line $OP$ and the line $OO'$. In the triangle $\triangle OO'P$, the lengths of the line segment $O'D$ and the line segment $OO'$ are $\frac{d}{\sqrt{2}}$ and $f$ respectively. According to the properties of triangle, we could get

$$\Delta \delta = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{d}{\sqrt{2}f}\right)$$

Using the same way, we could get

$$\Delta \alpha = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{d}{\sqrt{2}f \cos \delta_0}\right)$$

Therefore, domains of the declination and the right ascension can be written as

$$\alpha \in [\alpha_0 - \Delta \alpha, \alpha_0 + \Delta \alpha]$$

$$\delta \in [\delta_0 - \Delta \delta, \delta_0 + \Delta \delta]$$
With Annex 1, if the location of the star sensor is known, we could determine which stars can be projected on the externally tangent circle of the photosensitive surface. Then, we transform coordinates to determine whether the star $P_i$ can project on the photosensitive surface.

4.2.2. Transformation of Coordinate Systems

In order to improve the accuracy of point $D$, we are required to select proper stars according to the geometric position. For ease of calculation, we need to transfer coordinates from the celestial coordinate system to the pixel coordinate system. Since there are four coordinate systems, after implementing the pairwise transformation, we can obtain a final transformation formula.

Both the celestial coordinate system and the star sensor coordinate system are three-dimensional. With the assumption that the projection center is the center of the celestial sphere, their origins are overlapped. Thus, the transformation process can be seen as the point rotate $\phi \varphi$, $\sigma$ and $\beta$ about $X_w$ axis, $Y_w$ axis and $Z_w$ axis respectively. For the rotation around $Z_w$ axis, the transformation is

$$\begin{align*}
x &= x' \\
y &= y'\cos \varphi + z'\cos \varphi \\
z &= -y'\sin \varphi + z'\cos \varphi
\end{align*}$$

Where $x$, $y$ and $z$ indicate the transformed coordinates, and $x'$, $y'$ and $z'$ represent the original coordinates. The equation set can be written as

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \beta & -\sin \beta & 0 \\ \sin \beta & \cos \beta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x' \\ y' \\ z' \end{bmatrix} = R_x \begin{bmatrix} x' \\ y' \\ z' \end{bmatrix}$$

Using the same way, the transformations about $X_w$ axis and $Y_w$ axis are

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \cos \varphi & \sin \varphi & 0 \\ -\sin \varphi & \cos \varphi & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x' \\ y' \\ z' \end{bmatrix} = R_y \begin{bmatrix} x' \\ y' \\ z' \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \sigma & 0 & \sin \sigma \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin \sigma & 0 & \cos \sigma \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x' \\ y' \\ z' \end{bmatrix} = R_z \begin{bmatrix} x' \\ y' \\ z' \end{bmatrix}$$

Set the matrix $R = R_xR_yR_z$, the transformation formula from the celestial coordinate system to the star sensor coordinate is

$$\begin{bmatrix} X_s \\ Y_s \\ Z_s \end{bmatrix} = R^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} X_c \\ Y_c \\ Z_c \end{bmatrix}$$

(8)

Here $R^{-1}$ is the inverse matrix of the matrix $R$.

Then we need to transform the coordinate into the image coordinate system. Putting the celestial coordinate system and the image coordinate system together, we sketch the graph in Figure 8.
Figure 8. The celestial coordinate system and the image coordinate system.

In the graph, the photosensitive surface, the plane $POB$ and the plane $X,OZ$, are perpendicular to each other and intersects at line $BO$, line $QC$ and line $O'C$. Therefore, it indicates that $\Delta DBO$ is similar to $\Delta O'CQ$ and $\Delta PBO$ is similar to $\Delta QCO$. According to the properties of similar triangles

\[
\frac{DB}{OC} = \frac{DO}{O'C} = \frac{PB}{QC} = \frac{X_c}{x} = \frac{Z_c}{f} = \frac{Y}{y}
\]

We get

\[
\begin{align*}
x &= f \frac{X_c}{Z_c} \\
y &= f \frac{Y}{Y_c}
\end{align*}
\]

Thus, the transformation formula from the star sensor coordinate to the image coordinate system is

\[
Z_c \begin{bmatrix}
    x \\
y
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
f & 0 & 0 \\
0 & f & 0 \\
0 & 0 & f
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
    X_c \\
Y_c \\
1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Finally, for ease of calculation, we transform coordinates from the image coordinate system to the pixel coordinate system. We put two systems together again to get the diagram in Figure 9.

Figure 9. The image coordinate system and the pixel coordinate system.

Since the unit in two systems are different, following equation set helps us to unify units.
And it can be written as
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  u \\
v \\
1
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
  1 & 0 & u_0 \\
  0 & 1 & v_0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
x \\
y \\
1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(10)

Meanwhile, it is the transformation formula. Combining equations (8), (9) and (10), we deduce the ultimate transformation formula from the celestial coordinate system to the pixel coordinate system.
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  u \\
v \\
1
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
  1 & 0 & u_0 \\
  0 & 1 & v_0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
x \\
y \\
1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(11)

4.2.3. The Selection Principle

After operating the above two steps, we could get the ultimate star map for a specific location of the star sensor. In the star map, we get the number N of stars and coordinates of stars in the pixel coordinate system. Since it is better to avoid selecting stars at the edge of the photosensitive surface, we take the average distance \( a \) of the distances \( a_i \) between the point \( Q \) and the point \( O' \) as the criteria, i.e.,
\[
a = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i.
\]
In addition, because our main aim is to determine a selection principle for improving accuracy of the point \( D \), we only consider the situation that the optical axis of the point \( D \) points to the north celestial pole. Under this situation, considering the right ascension \( \alpha_0 \) of the point \( D \) is meaningless and the declination \( \delta_0 \) of the point \( D \) is \( 90^\circ \). Hence, we only need to compare the calculation values with the true declination \( 90^\circ \). Although the number of stars in Annex 1 is quite large, the number \( N \) of stars of a specific star map is countable. Therefore, if we select three stars each time, then we need to try \( C_N^3 \) times.

For each try, we calculate the coordinate of the point \( D \) by our tetrahedral model and define declination values of these coordinates as \( \delta_j \) (for \( j = 1, 2, ..., C_N^3 \)). Therefore, we attain a graph plotting declination values \( \delta_j \) versus the average distance \( a \). We conclude that the accuracy of the point \( D \) improves as the average distance \( a \) is declining.

For there are only three stars for each try, we get the principle shown in Figure 10.
If we choose only three stars to determine the coordinate of the point \( D \), they could compose a triangle or a line segment. However, if the number of stars is \( n \), which is greater than 3, then the geometric positions may be complex. In this situation, for a point set, we choose three of them to calculate the coordinate of the point \( D \), so that we should try \( \binom{n}{3} \) times for each point set. We still indicate \( a \) as the average distance between the point \( Q \) and the point \( O \). We firstly compute the average value of declination \( \delta \), i.e., \( \overline{\delta} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta_j \), and define the deviation is \( \varepsilon = \overline{\delta} - \delta_0 \). We define a vector \( p \) to represent the performance of different sets on accuracy.

\[
\mathbf{p} = \begin{bmatrix} \binom{n}{3} & a & \varepsilon \end{bmatrix}
\]

With MATLAB, we plot vector \( p \) in Figure 11.

According to the graph, we conclude that the accuracy improves as the average distance falls.

4.3. The Matching Recognition Model
4.3.1. The Feature Database Model for Navigation Stars Based on Triangles

Before achieving matching recognition, we should extract feature as criteria. For a navigation star \( P_i \) in Annex 1, we could sketch its position. Based on the assumption that all stars are on the celestial sphere, and because they are close to each other, we could invert their given coordinate \( (\alpha_i, \delta_i) \) into \( (x_i, y_i, z_i) \) and find its
nearest three navigation stars $P_{i+1}, P_{i+2}$ and $P_{i+3}$ in Figure 12.

![Figure 12. The navigation star $P_i$ and its closest three stars.](image)

The algorithm is shown as follow:

**INPUT:** $(\alpha_i, \delta_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, ..., N_w$ the coordinate of all navigation stars, where $N_w$ indicate the total number of navigation stars.

**PROCESS:**

**STEP 1:** Based on the transformation formula (8), find the closest navigation stars $P_{i+1}, P_{i+2}$ and $P_{i+3}$ of the navigation star $P_i$.

**STEP 2:** Calculate angles $\angle P_{i+1}P_iP_{i+2}, \angle P_{i+2}P_iP_{i+3}$ and $\angle P_{i+1}P_{i+3}P_i$.

**STEP 3:** Record angles from small to large as the feature vector for the navigation star $P_i$.

**STEP 4:** Repeat STEP 1 to STEP 3 till $i$ equal to $N_w$.

**OUTPUT:** The feature database matrix $K_w$, for which the columns are feature vectors $k_w$.

4.3.2. The Feature Database Model for Star Maps Based on Triangles

We interpret $N_c$ as the number of stars for a specific star map. Using the same algorithm, we could extract the feature vector. However, based on our selection principle, we should ignore stars on the edge of the star map to decline the deviation. There will be $n_c$ feature vectors, where $n_c$ is less than $N_c$. Therefore, the algorithm is:

**INPUT:** $(\alpha_i, \delta_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, ..., N_c$ the coordinate of all navigation stars

**PROCESS:**

**STEP 1:** Based on the transformation formula (8), find the closest navigation stars $P_{i+1}, P_{i+2}$ and $P_{i+3}$ of the navigation star $P_i$.

**STEP 2:** Calculate angles $\angle P_{i+1}P_iP_{i+2}, \angle P_{i+2}P_iP_{i+3}$ and $\angle P_{i+1}P_{i+3}P_i$.

**STEP 3:** Record angles from small to large as the feature vector for the navigation star $P_i$.

**STEP 4:** Repeat STEP 1 to STEP 3 till $i$ equal to $n_c$.

**OUTPUT:** The feature database matrix $K_c$, for which the columns are feature vectors $k_c$.

4.3.3. The Matching Recognition Algorithm

After extracting features matrix $K_w$ and $K_c$, we employ the matching recognition algorithm to find the corresponding number of stars in Annex 2. The algorithm is shown below:
INPUT: Features matrix $K_w$ and $K_\epsilon$, the error $\epsilon'$

PROCESS:

STEP 1: Compare the feature matrix $K_\epsilon$ of star maps with that of navigation stars and record the navigation stars which satisfy $\|K_\epsilon - K\| \leq \epsilon'$ as set M.

STEP 2: Cluster stars in the set M by DBScan algorithm.

STEP 3: Compare the center of clustering to determine the best navigation stars.

STEP 4: Repeat STEP 1 to STEP 3 till all navigation stars have been considered.

OUTPUT: The corresponding number for star maps in Annex 2.

4.3.4. Results

With above three algorithms, we could get the number of navigation stars. Take the second star map in Annex 2 as example, we get the star map in Figure 13 and the star table in Table 2.
The marked points namely, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12 are navigation stars. We attach full answer in Appendix, namely, four-star maps and their corresponding navigation stars.

5. Strength and Weakness

5.1. Strength

- Our model is based on reasonable assumptions. That is to say, the positioning problem for the point $D$ is transformed into a geometric problem according to mechanism analysis.
- Based on the coordinate transformation formula, our model converts coordinates from the celestial coordinate system into the pixel coordinate system.
- Considering the accuracy of the point $D$, we establish a rational model to screen points of the star map for a specific time.
- When considering the influence factors of accuracy, we simplify the question and emphasize the main point by taking the north celestial pole as an example.

5.2. Weakness

- When we consider the transformation from the celestial coordinate system into the star sensor coordinate system, only the situation that the optical axis rotates around $X_c$ axis is taken into account. We do not consider the rotation of the optical axis around $Y_c$ axis and $Z_c$ axis.
- In our first model for the coordinate of the point $D$, we use the Newton’s method. However, errors are generated during the iterative process. Apart from this, we can only get the numerical solution instead of the analytic solution.
- We ignore that the projection of stars centroid may be biased.

6. Conclusion

Through the establishment of three models, we achieve feature extraction and matching recognition of star sensors. First, we establish a geometrical model to convert the coordinate question into the algebraic question. Then we employ Newton’s method to simplify the question.

Then, in order to draw a principle for improving accuracy, we consider the star map at the north celestial pole as an example. For different number and geometrical position of stars, we compare the deviation between the calculated value and the true value. Hence, we draw the conclusion that on the photosensitive surface, if selected stars are closer to the center, then the accuracy is relatively better.

After determining the principles, we extract the feature vectors of the given star tables and the star map. We use the DBScan clustering algorithm to implement the matching recognition process. Ultimately, we get the results of star map and numbers for navigation stars.
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